UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,951	06/21/2006	Tomoyuki Maeda	Q79246	1485
23373 SUGHRUE MI	7590 06/25/200 ON. PLLC	EXAMINER		
2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W.			RICKMAN, HOLLY C	
	SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			PAPER NUMBER
			1794	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/583,951	MAEDA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Holly Rickman	1794			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A _I This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-17 is/are withdrawr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6,18 and 19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 21 June 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	n from consideration. r election requirement. r. p⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/13/09;1/17/08;6/21/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 1794

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 9-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected method, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 4/16/09.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 2 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 2 is indefinite because is requires that the Ti oxide comprises TiO₂ but claim 1 from which it depends requires that the Ti oxide is TiO and/or Ti₂O₃. Thus, it is not further limiting. The examiner suggests amending the claim to state "wherein Ti oxide further comprises TiO₂."

Claims 6-8 are indefinite because they lack antecedent basis for the "oxide in the undercoating layer." Claim 1 does not disclose an oxide in the undercoating layer.

Art Unit: 1794

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 3-6 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sakawaki et al. (US 7470474).

Sakawaki et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a substrate, at least one undercoating layer, and a granular magnetic layer having CoCrPt magnetic grains separated by oxide grain boundaries formed from TiO or TiO2 wherein the oxide content is preferably 5-10 mol% (see col 9, lines 22-28 and 40-43; col. 10, lines 55-67; col. 17, lines 50-56).

With regard to claims 4-6, the reference teaches the use of an Ru-SiO2 underlayer having Ru grains dispersed in a n oxide matrix (col. 8, lines 54-63). The amount of oxide in the underlayer is preferably 4-12 mol % (lines 64-66). The group of suitable underlayer materials is small enough that one or ordinary skill in the art would have immediately envisaged an embodiment using Ru-SiO2.

With regard to claims 18-19, Sakawaki et al. teach a perpendicular recording apparatus using the above described magnetic recording medium in conjunction with a single pole magnetic head (see col. 6, lines 28-31).

6. Claims 1, 3-4 and 18-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Wu et al. (US 7192664).

Wu et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a substrate, at least one undercoating layer, and a granular magnetic layer having Co-alloy magnetic grains separated by oxide grain boundaries formed from TiO and TiO2 wherein the oxide content is 6 mol% for example with a maximum amount of 15 mol% (see col 6, lines 55-60; col. 5, lines 38-57; col. 4, lines 64-67).

With regard to claim 3, Wu teaches the use of a CoPt alloy with the addition of an element such as Cr. The group of suitable additive elements is small enough that one of ordinary skill in the art would have immediately envisaged an embodiment using CoPtCr (see columns 7-8, claims 1-3).

With regard to claim 4, Wu discloses an intermediate layer corresponding to the claimed undercoating layer formed from Ru or Ru alloys (col. 6, lines 26-29). The group of suitable intermediate layer materials is small enough that one of ordinary skill in the art would have immediately envisaged an embodiment using a Ru-containing intermediate layer.

With regard to claims 18-19, the reference teaches use of the aforementioned recording medium in a recording apparatus using a single pole head (col., 4, lines 64-67).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

Art Unit: 1794

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu et al. (US 7192664).

Wu et al. disclose a perpendicular magnetic recording medium having a substrate, at least one undercoating layer, and a granular magnetic layer having Co-alloy magnetic grains separated by oxide grain boundaries formed from TiO and TiO2 wherein the oxide content is 6 mol% for example with a maximum amount of 15 mol% (see col 6, lines 55-60; col. 5, lines 38-57; col. 4, lines 64-67). The reference specifically states in col. 6, lines 55-60 that the disclosure of TiO2 at the grain boundaries of the magnetic layer includes TiO. Thus, it is clear that Wu envisaged a grain boundary with some mixture of TiO and TiO2 even though the specific proportions of the two compounds are not explicit.

It would have been well within the level of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to determine the optimal ratio of TiO2, TiO and other non-stoichiometric Ti oxides to use in the grain boundary.

9. Claims 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakawaki et al. (US 7470474).

Sakawaki et al. disclose all of the features of the claims, as detailed above, except for the use of a Ru undercoating layer containing TiO or Ti2O3 or TiO2 in an amount of less than or equal to 90 mol%.

Sakawaki teaches the equivalence of TiO and TiO2 for use as a grain segregating material in the magnetic layer taught therein. The reference discloses the use of oxide grain

Art Unit: 1794

segregating materials in the Ru-containing undercoating layer taught therein. The reference discloses TiO2 as a specific example of this. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute some amount of TiO for the TiO2 grain boundary material in the Ru layer in view of the art recognized functional equivalence of TiO and TiO2 for this purpose.

Optimization of the specific contents (mol percentages) of each component would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention.

Conclusion

- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 6699600 is cited as art of interest as being the English equivalent of JP 2002-358615.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Holly Rickman whose telephone number is (571) 272-1514. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Bernatz, Acting SPE for Carol Chaney can be reached on (571) 272-1505. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1794

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Holly Rickman/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1794